

**Northern Stamping, Inc. and International Union,
United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural
Implement Workers of America, UAW.
Case 8-CA-24543**

September 30, 1992

DECISION AND ORDER

BY MEMBERS DEVANEY, OVIATT, AND
RAUDABAUGH

On June 29, 1992, the General Counsel of the National Labor Relations Board issued a complaint and notice of hearing alleging that the Respondent has violated Section 8(a)(1) and (5) of the National Labor Relations Act by refusing the Union's request to bargain following the Union's certification in Case 8-RC-14597. (Official notice is taken of the "record" in the representation proceeding as defined in the Board's Rules and Regulations, Secs. 102.68 and 102.69(g); *Frontier Hotel*, 265 NLRB 343 (1982).) The Respondent filed its answer admitting in part and denying in part the allegations in the complaint.

On September 14, 1992, the General Counsel filed a Motion for Summary Judgment to the National Labor Relations Board. On September 15, 1992, the Board issued an order transferring the proceeding to the Board and a Notice to Show Cause why the motion should not be granted. The Respondent filed a response.

The National Labor Relations Board has delegated its authority in this proceeding to a three-member panel.

Ruling on Motion for Summary Judgment

In its answer the Respondent admits its refusal to bargain but attacks the validity of the certification on the basis of its objections to the election in the representation proceeding.

All representation issues raised by the Respondent were or could have been litigated in the prior representation proceeding. The Respondent does not offer to adduce at a hearing any newly discovered and previously unavailable evidence, nor does it allege any special circumstances that would require the Board to reexamine the decision made in the representation proceeding. We therefore find that the Respondent has not raised any representation issue that is properly litigable in this unfair labor practice proceeding. See *Pittsburgh Plate Glass Co. v. NLRB*, 313 U.S. 146, 162 (1941). Accordingly, we grant the Motion for Summary Judgment.

On the entire record, the Board makes the following

FINDINGS OF FACT

I. JURISDICTION

The Respondent, an Ohio corporation with an office and place of business in Cleveland, Ohio, has been engaged in the manufacture of auto products where it annually sells and ships from its Cleveland, Ohio facility goods valued in excess of \$50,000 directly to points outside the State of Ohio. We find that the Respondent is an employer engaged in commerce within the meaning of Section 2(2), (6), and (7) of the Act and that the Union is a labor organization within the meaning of Section 2(5) of the Act.

II. ALLEGED UNFAIR LABOR PRACTICES

A. The Certification

Following the election held September 20, 1991,¹ the Union was certified on April 10, 1992, as the collective-bargaining representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit:

All full-time and regular part-time production and maintenance employees, including group leaders, plant clerical employees, and quality control inspectors, excluding all office clerical employees, professional employees, guards and supervisors, as defined in the Act.

The Union continues to be the exclusive representative under Section 9(a) of the Act.

B. Refusal to Bargain

Since on or about April 16, 1992, the Union has requested the Respondent to bargain and, since about April 22, 1992, the Respondent has refused. We find that this refusal constitutes an unlawful refusal to bargain in violation of Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

By refusing on and after April 22, 1992, to bargain with the Union as the exclusive collective-bargaining representative of employees in the appropriate unit the

¹The tally of ballots reflected that there were 58 valid votes counted of which 31 were for the Union, 27 were against the Union, and 4 challenged ballots. Challenges were determinative. On September 27, 1991, the Respondent filed timely objections to conduct affecting the results of the election. After an investigation, the Regional Director issued a report on challenged ballots, order directing hearing on objections and notice of hearing on November 15, 1991, in which he recommended that the challenges to the other two ballots be sustained, approved the Petitioner's request to withdraw its challenges to two ballots and directed that a hearing be held on the Respondent's objections. No exceptions were filed. On December 6, 1991, the Board issued an Order sustaining the challenges to the two remaining ballots and directing a hearing. In his Report on Objections to Election dated January 15, 1992, the hearing officer concluded that the Employer's sole objection was without merit and recommended that it be overruled. The Board adopted the hearing officer's report and certified the Union in its Decision and Certification of Representative of April 10, 1992.

Respondent has engaged in unfair labor practices affecting commerce within the meaning of Section 8(a)(5) and (1) and Section 2(6) and (7) of the Act.

REMEDY

Having found that the Respondent has violated Section 8(a)(5) and (1) of the Act, we shall order it to cease and desist, to bargain on request with the Union, and, if an understanding is reached, to embody the understanding in a signed agreement.

To ensure that the employees are accorded the services of their selected bargaining agent for the period provided by law, we shall construe the initial period of the certification as beginning the date the Respondent begins to bargain in good faith with the Union. *Mar-Jac Poultry Co.*, 136 NLRB 785 (1962); *Lamar Hotel*, 140 NLRB 226, 229 (1962), enfd. 328 F.2d 600 (5th Cir. 1964), cert. denied 379 U.S. 817 (1964); *Burnett Construction Co.*, 149 NLRB 1419, 1421 (1964), enfd. 350 F.2d 57 (10th Cir. 1965).

ORDER

The National Labor Relations Board orders that the Respondent, Northern Stamping, Inc., Cleveland, Ohio, its officers, agents, successors, and assigns, shall

1. Cease and desist from

(a) Refusing to bargain with International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America, UAW, as the exclusive bargaining representative of the employees in the bargaining unit.

(b) In any like or related manner interfering with, restraining, or coercing employees in the exercise of the rights guaranteed them by Section 7 of the Act.

2. Take the following affirmative action necessary to effectuate the policies of the Act.

(a) On request, bargain with the Union as the exclusive representative of the employees in the following appropriate unit on terms and conditions of employment and, if an understanding is reached, embody the understanding in a signed agreement:

All full-time and regular part-time production and maintenance employees, including group leaders, plant clerical employees, and quality control inspectors, excluding all office clerical employees, professional employees, guards and supervisors, as defined in the Act.

(b) Post at its facility in Cleveland, Ohio, copies of the attached notice marked "Appendix."² Copies of

the notice, on forms provided by the Regional Director for Region 8, after being signed by the Respondent's authorized representative, shall be posted by the Respondent immediately upon receipt and maintained for 60 consecutive days in conspicuous places including all places where notices to employees are customarily posted. Reasonable steps shall be taken by the Respondent to ensure that the notices are not altered, defaced, or covered by any other material.

(c) Notify the Regional Director in writing within 20 days from the date of this Order what steps the Respondent has taken to comply.

tions Board" shall read "Posted Pursuant to a Judgment of the United States Court of Appeals Enforcing an Order of the National Labor Relations Board."

APPENDIX

NOTICE TO EMPLOYEES
POSTED BY ORDER OF THE
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD
An Agency of the United States Government

The National Labor Relations Board has found that we violated the National Labor Relations Act and has ordered us to post and abide by this notice.

WE WILL NOT refuse to bargain with International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America, UAW, as the exclusive representative of the employees in the bargaining unit.

WE WILL NOT in any like or related manner interfere with, restrain, or coerce you in the exercise of the rights guaranteed you by Section 7 of the Act.

WE WILL, on request, bargain with the Union and put in writing and sign any agreement reached on terms and conditions of employment for our employees in the bargaining unit:

All full-time and regular part-time production and maintenance employees, including group leaders, plant clerical employees, and quality control inspectors, excluding all office clerical employees, professional employees, guards and supervisors, as defined in the Act.

NORTHERN STAMPING, INC.

² If this Order is enforced by a judgment of a United States court of appeals, the words in the notice reading "Posted by Order of the National Labor Relations Board" shall read "Posted Pursuant to a Judgment of the United States Court of Appeals Enforcing an Order of the National Labor Relations Board."